



SECOND NELLIP NATIONAL WORKSHOP

"Promoting innovation in the development of linguistic skills in connection with the European Language Label"

IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Authors of the Plan

Name	Organization
Maria Norton	British Council
Daniela Muresan	British Council
Monica Lupetti	Università di Pisa
Marco Guidi	Università di Pisa
Luisa Panichi	Università di Pisa
Massimo Moneglia	Università di Firenze
Cristiano Sanna	Centro Machiavelli
Chiara Cappa	Liceo Scientifico "L. Respighi", Piacenza
Paola Gherardelli	ITIS Da Vinci
Elisabetta Delle Donne	Pixel
Antonio Giordano	Pixel

Improvement Plan

Participants to the "Promoting innovation in the development of linguistic skills in connection with the European Language Label" NELLIP National Workshops did not focus on a specific language project or initiative, stressing its strengths and weaknesses.

Participants preferred a more general overview and discussed a general strategy to be carried out in order to improve innovation in language teaching and learning projects/initiatives. In particular, participants commonly identified the main problems and criticalities which can seriously decrease innovation in language teaching and learning projects/initiatives. For each problem identified, participants proposed the best possible solutions to be shared with NELLIP portal end users.

This is the improvement plan as result of the workshop' activities:







Problem identified	Proposed solution
Teachers' resistance to use technology	 To motivate teachers To invest in high-profile trainers To help teachers with specialized tutors in the use of technology: "innovation coachs" To demonstrate the best results - in terms of enthusiasm and involvement - obtained by students who have used new technologies
Educational programs are not innovation oriented	• To insert innovative tools in the existing programs
Innovation based on ICT is limited by the lack of technological resources	 To invest in simple technologies To encourage children to use their PCs, smartphones, tablets, etc, according to an approach "bring your own device" To create technological corners to be shared
Innovation tends to replicate the existing	 To rethink language teaching and learning in a more modern and pragmatic way
Education is not always receptive to new approaches like CLIL, self- assessment, etc.	 To realize schools curricula including the obligation to refer to innovative training projects To encourage an equal recognition in favor of those who make innovation
Low motivation of teachers	 To constantly follow the participants in the training courses (e.g., via Skype, email, etc.). To establish an in-service training contract with clear objectives, expected results, timing, etc.

